

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,721	10/20/2000	Peter E. Sandford	0030-0198P	5614	
26123	7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER		
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			GOODMAN,	GOODMAN, CHARLES	
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	20	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Ø.W ~			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
ů	09/692,721	SANDFORD, PETER E.			
→ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles Goodman	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	c rrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au	<u>ıgust 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,14-17,24-26 and 32-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,14-17,24-26 and 32-34</u> is/are rej	ected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	••				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application)			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 &amp; Page No.</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)  Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 20			



Árt Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The Amendment filed on August 19, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The drawings were received on August 19, 2003. These drawings are approved.
- 3. The Substitute Specification filed on August 19, 2003 has been entered and approved.

## **Election/Restrictions**

4. It is reiterated that Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Species VIII, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 3-5, 14-17, 24-26, and 32-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,477,930. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differ in terminology used and/or

Application/Control Number: 09/692,721

Art Unit: 3724

obvious variants. For example, the claimed "jogger member" in the current application contains substantially the same claimed features of the patent, e.g. "base member," an "adjustable support member," and a "guide" or "guiding" member.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Sandford '482 is cited as additional pertinent art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

**AU 3724** 

CHARLES GOODM/ PRIMARY EXAMIN"

cg December 1, 2003